August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1 of 2

## 2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: WACO ISD

Campus Name: STARS HIGH SCHOOL Grade Span: 09 - 12
Campus Number: 161914007 % At-Risk: 100

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate.

District at-risk Completion Rate II used.

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

	District	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ Disad
	At-Risk					
and largement of Vnoviledes and	chilla (ma	VC) Program	aa (Gwadaa i	12)		
exas Assessment of Knowledge and	SKIIIS (IA	ks) Progre	ss (Grades .	5-12)		
Analysis Groups Evaluated						
0006 00 -		X		X		X
2006-07 Progress Measure		4.0=				
# Tests Met Standard	11,243	105	11	75	*	50
# Tests	14,812	136	20	95	*	69
% Met Standard	76%	77%	55%	79%	90%	72%
Student Group %	n/a	100%	15%	70%	*	51%
2005-06 Progress Measure						
# Tests Met Standard	11,098	107	30	54	*	25
# Tests	15,049	133	42	66	*	32
% Met Standard	74%	80%	71%	82%	92%	78%
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements	:?					
Actual Change	2	-3	-16	-3	-2	-6
Improvement Required	_	-	_	_	_	_
Met Required Improvement?	_	_	_	_	_	
tota Barraland Albamatica Sanara	mont II (S					
tate-Developed Alternative Assess	menc ii (a	DAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
tate-Developed Alternative Assess  Analysis Groups Evaluated	menc ii (s	DAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
-	menc ii (a	DAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
Analysis Groups Evaluated	n/a	DAA II)(Gr	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results				n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a	*	n/a	,	,	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a	*	n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results	n/a n/a n/a	*	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a n/a	* *	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests	n/a n/a n/a n/a	* *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	,
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated  2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations  Required Improvement Met Minimum Size Requirements	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * * *	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('\*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2 of 2

## 2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: WACO ISD

Campus Name: STARS HIGH SCHOOL Grade Span: 09 - 12
Campus Number: 161914007 % At-Risk: 100

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate.

District at-risk Completion Rate II used.

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

	District At-Risk	All	ith an 'X'. African American	Hispanic	White	Econ Disady
ompletion Rate II (Grades 9-12)						
Analysis Groups Evaluated	x	x		x		x
Class of 2006						
# Completers	491	49	16	25	8	21
# Non-completers	164	21	7	11	3	9
# in Class	655	70	23	36	11	30
Completion Rate	75.0%	70.0%	69.6%	69.4%	72.7%	70.09
Student Group %	n/a	100%	33%	51%	16%	439
Class of 2005						
# Completers	453	50	9	26	15	1:
# in Class	481	53	10	28	15	1:
Completion Rate	94.2%	94.3%	90.0%	92.9%	100.0%	100.09
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements	?	Y		Y		3
Actual Change	-19.2	-24.3	-20.4	-23.5	-27.3	-30.0
Improvement Required	-	-9.7	-	-9.0	-	-12.
Met Required Improvement?		N		N		1
Decreases in completion rates may beginning with the 2005-06 school		o signific	ant changes	in the dro	opout def	inition
nnual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)						
Analysis Groups Evaluated		x	x	x	x	x
2005-06						
# Dropouts	n/a	33	11	16	6	10
# Students in Grades 7-12	n/a	217	64	107	45	6
Dropout Rate	n/a	15.2%	17.2%	15.0%	13.3%	15.2

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

100%

29%

49%

21%

30%

Student Group %

n/a

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('\*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.